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SUMMARY

On theoretical grounds, coevolutionary interactions with parasites can select for cross fertilization, even when there is a two-fold advantage gained by reproducing through uniparental means. The suspected advantage of cross fertilization stems from the production of genetically rare offspring, which are expected to be more likely to escape infection by coevolving enemies. In the present study, we consider the effects that parasites have on parthenogenetic mutants in obligately sexual, dioecious populations. Computer simulations show that repeated mutation to parthenogenesis can lead to the accumulation of clones with different resistance genotypes, and that a moderately diverse set of clones could competitively exclude the ancestral sexual subpopulation. The simulations also show that, when there are reasonable rates of deleterious mutation, coevolutionary interactions with parasites can lead to the evolutionary stability of cross fertilization. In addition, we consider the effects that parasites can have on the evolution of uniparental reproduction in cosexual populations. Strategy models show that parasites and inbreeding depression could interact to select for evolutionarily stable reproductive strategies that involve mixtures of selfed and outcrossed progeny.

1 From Lively, C. M., and R. S. Howard. Phil. Trans. R. Soc. B (1994) 346:271-281. Minor corrections and colour figures have been included in this version. See published version for correct citation.
1. INTRODUCTION

Consider two obligately asexual clones, A and B, which are alike in all ways, except that clone A produces twice the number of daughters. If these two clones were to be placed in direct competition, clone A would eliminate clone B in very few generations. Now consider a similar competition between clone A and a sexual group. Both groups produce the same number of offspring, but only half of the offspring produced by the sexual individuals are daughters. Hence the production of daughters by the sexual group is the same as that for clone B above, and clone A is again expected to win. Why then is there sex (Maynard Smith 1971)? Any sexual population that generates a rare asexual mutant should be rapidly replaced by the descendants of that mutant, unless the clone actually produces fewer daughters.

The reasoning makes sense, but the predominance of sexual reproduction in eukaryotes suggests that there is more to the outcome than simple daughter production. The "alike-in-all-ways" assumption made above is apparently false. One way in which the assumption is false is that clonal populations cannot reduce their mutational load by concentrating mutations in a few low-fitness offspring (Muller 1964). Because of the probabilistic nature of mutation, Muller viewed an asexual population as a monophyletic series of subclones, each having a different number of deleterious mutations. He reasoned that, by chance alone, all the members of the subclone with the lightest mutational load could fail to reproduce. Alternatively, by chance, all the offspring from the members of the least loaded clone could acquire an additional mutation. Using this kind of reasoning, Muller foresaw an "irreversible ratchet mechanism" leading to an ever increasing number of deleterious mutations in clonal lineages, especially in small clonal populations (Muller 1964). Some striking examples for the operation of Muller's ratchet are given by Leslie and Vrijenhoek (1980), Chao (1990), Bell (1988) and Rice (1994).

Another way in which the "alike-in-all-ways" assumption is false is that clonal populations lack the genetic variability of sexual populations, and they therefore lack the same potential to respond to selection (Weismann 1889). Here we are mainly concerned with the
second alternative that sexual populations have an advantage that depends on their ability to produce variable progeny. Specifically, we are concerned with the recent idea that the production of variable progeny is advantageous as a defense against parasites (Levin 1975; Jaenike 1978; Glesener and Tilman 1978; Hamilton 1980, 1982; Bremermann 1980, Lloyd 1980). In its simplest form, the idea is very straightforward. Any clone will have an advantage when rare, because of its enhanced daughter production. But as that clone becomes common, there is an increasing selection on its parasites to be able to infect it. This kind of frequency-dependent selection against the clone (and common genotypes in general) could prevent the clone from replacing the sexual ancestor.

The idea, now known as the Red Queen hypothesis (following Bell 1982), has appeal for two reasons. One is that parasites seem ubiquitous; there are even parasites of parasites. The other reason is that the ecological correlates of biparental reproduction are consistent with the Red Queen hypothesis. Sexual species tend to inhabit undisturbed, biologically complex habitats where parasitism is expected to be common (Levin 1975; Glesener and Tilman 1978; Bell 1982). In addition, recent studies have shown that cross-fertilization predominates within populations of the same species where parasites are common, and that uniparental modes of reproduction predominate in populations where parasites are rare (Lively 1987, 1992; Schrag et al. 1994a). Explaining the ecological distribution of cross fertilization is a necessary requirement for any general theory of sex.

The most critical assumption of the parasite (or Red Queen) theory of sex is that there is increasing selection against host genotypes as they become common, independent of whether they are sexually or asexually produced. This selection imposed by parasites sets up the expected time-lagged oscillations in host and parasite gene frequencies from which the Red Queen hypothesis gets its name (Hutson and Law 1981; Bell 1982; Bell and Maynard Smith 1987; Nee 1989). In what follows, we present the results of computer simulations of host-parasite coevolution which suggest that time-lagged frequency-dependent selection may select for clonal diversity, and that sexual reproduction can be replaced by a moderately
diverse set of clones. We also present strategy models for the evolution of selfing in cosexual populations. The results of these models suggest that parasites can select for mixtures of selfed and outcrossed progeny within a single brood.

2. PARASITES AND CLONAL DIVERSITY

Consider the spread of an initially rare apomictic clone in a dioecious sexual population. As indicated in above, the clone should spread when rare due to its enhanced daughter production. Then, as the clone becomes common, it is expected to be "tracked" by parasites, and driven down in frequency if the effects of parasites on host fitness are sufficiently severe. But, unless the clone is driven extinct, it should recover its original rare advantage and begin increasing again. Under these conditions, the outcome of repeated mutation to clonal reproduction could lead to the accumulation of clonal diversity, which would diminish the advantage of cross fertilization. If, however, clones accumulate deleterious mutations, then the two-fold advantage of parthenogenetic reproduction will be eroded during the initial spread of the clonal mutant, and each time the clone is driven down in frequency by the parasite (Howard and Lively 1994). Here we evaluate the effects of parasites on accumulation of clonal diversity under two conditions: (1) without recurrent deleterious mutations, and (2) with an average of one deleterious mutation per genome per generation.

(a) Methods

To address this question, we employed an individual-based computer simulation of host-parasite interactions. Hosts and parasites were treated as haploid individuals whose interactions were mediated by two unlinked diallelic loci. All hosts contained an additional 500 unlinked loci at which harmful mutations could accumulate with a probability of \( U/500 \) per generation, where \( U \) is the Poisson-distributed mean mutation rate per genome per generation. The host population (\( N = 1000 \)) also received a sexual migrant on average once every two generations. These migrants were randomly assigned one of the four possible
parasite compatibility genotypes, and were initialized with a Poisson-distributed mean of \( \frac{U}{s} \) deleterious mutations, where \( s \) is the selection against deleterious mutations (Maynard Smith 1978).

Parasites were obligately sexual and reproduced twice for each bout of host reproduction. To maintain genetic variation in the parasite population, alleles at the interaction loci mutated to the alternative state with a mean probability of 0.03. At the beginning of each parasite generation, individual hosts were drawn sequentially from an array and matched against a randomly drawn parasite with probability \( T \). A parasite was successful at infecting a host if and only if an exact genetic match occurred at both interaction loci. Parasites that were successful at infecting hosts were placed in an array, and allowed to participate in a reproductive lottery at the end of each parasite generation; unsuccessful parasites died. Hosts were marked as either parasitized or unparasitized and returned to the source array; each host could be infected by a maximum of one parasite.

At the end of each host generation, individuals were selected randomly for reproduction. If the chosen host individual was sexual, a second host was selected at random to serve as a mate. Sexual pairs mated and gave rise to haploid embryos through a process analogous to zygotic meiosis; asexual females reproduced mitotically. The number of offspring produced by hosts was discounted according to the effects of parasitism \( E \) and selection against deleterious recurrent mutations. Viability of offspring in the presence of deleterious mutations was calculated as \((1 - s)^k\), where \( s \) is the selection coefficient and \( k \) is the number of mutations (following Maynard Smith 1978); here we set \( s = 0.025 \). Host reproduction was allowed to continue until the total number of broods produced equaled the number of adults in the population. Surviving embryos were then selected at random without replacement to become the next generation of adults. To simulate repeated mutation to parthenogenesis, a clonal mutant with a two-fold reproductive advantage was randomly selected from the sexual population on average once every 60 host generations. Clones derived in this fashion were identical to their sexual parent with respect to the genetic
configuration at their parasite interaction loci and the number of harmful mutations in their genomes. (Copies of the computer code are available on request.)

We conducted the initial runs of the model in a large region of parameter space in which we previously observed coexistence between a sexual population and a single clonal mutant (Howard and Lively 1994). Specifically, we initialized the parameters at $T = 0.90$ (90% risk of contact with a randomly drawn parasite), $E = 0.70$ (70% reduction in the fitness of infected hosts), and $U = 0$ (i.e. no deleterious mutation). The purpose here was to determine the number of clones needed to displace the sexual population in the absence of deleterious mutation. We then added deleterious mutations to the model at the rate of one-per-genome-per-generation. This rate of mutation has empirical support from studies on Drosophila (Mukai et al. 1972; Houle et al. 1992). Finally, we added a third diallelic locus to the model for both the host and the parasite.

(b) Results

In the absence of deleterious mutation ($U = 0$), a sexual population with four different resistance genotypes (two diallelic loci) was eliminated soon after invasion by only the second clonal host genotype. The mean time to elimination of sex was 27 generations ($N = 200$) following entry into the population by the second clone. A representative run is given in Fig. 1A. In this run, a randomly sampled clone was "spun off" at generation zero, and it quickly began to oscillate with the sexual population. Then at generation 19, a second clone was randomly sampled from the sexual population, and it increased rapidly. By generation 80, the sexual population had been driven extinct, and the two clones continued in a stable oscillation with each other. The same basic result was observed in the three-locus model. In 200 runs of the simulation, the sexual population was driven extinct an average of 36 generations after the second clone entered the populations (a representative run is given in Fig. 1B). Hence, it seems that parasites by themselves are insufficient to provide protection for sex in the face of repeated mutations to parthenogenetic reproduction. The results also suggest that the
diversity of resistance genotypes in a clonal population need not equal the diversity of the sexual population in order for clones to drive sexual populations to extinction.

A different result was gained when individuals gained an average of one deleterious mutation per generation ($U = 1$). In both the two-locus and three-locus models, the sexual population persisted until the run was terminated at 5000 generations, even though a randomly sampled clonal mutant entered the sexual population every 60 generations, on average. Particularly interesting parts of these runs are presented in Fig. 2, which shows the successive invasion and extinction of clones having different genotypes for parasite resistance. In all four cases, the clones oscillate several times before undergoing a mutational meltdown (in the sense of Lynch and Gabriel 1990). The meltdown is due to the accumulation of mutation through Muller's ratchet, which is aided by the parasite-driven oscillations in populations size of the clonal lineages (see Howard and Lively 1994).

We then reduced the effects of parasites from $E = 0.70$ to $E = 0.50$, and held all other parameters constant. The simulated sexual population again persisted until the run was terminated at 5000 generations in the two-locus model. Because of the reduced effect of infection, the clones persisted for a longer time, which increased the likelihood that they would overlap in time. Fig. 3A shows a part of the run in which three separate clones with different parasite-resistance genotypes coexisted for over 40 generations. All three clones eventually went extinct due to the combined effects of parasites and mutation accumulation. However, in the three-locus model, the sexual population was eliminated by a pair of clones at generation 910.

Finally, we reduced the effects of parasites to $E = 0.40$. In this simulation, a pair of clones drove the sexual population extinct in less than 2400 generations in both models (Fig. 4). Hence, under the conditions of our simulations, moderately severe effects of parasites ($E > 0.50$) were required to protect the sexual population from replacement by multiple clones. The minimum effects of parasites needed to stabilize sexual populations, however, may be reduced in populations larger than simulated here ($N = 1000$). This may be
expected because, in larger populations, clonal lineages will have more time to accumulate deleterious mutations, which in combination with parasites helps to erode their fecundity advantage before they replace the sexual population.

3. PARASITES AND MIXED MATING

Nested within the question "why cross fertilize?" is a more subtle question: why partial cross fertilization? Many organisms that produce cross-fertilized progeny also produce uniparental progeny in some form. Sponges, for example, produce outcrossed progeny; but they also produce gemmules, which are vegetatively produced physiologically independent offspring. Bryozoans similarly produce mixtures of uniparental and biparental offspring, as do many other invertebrates and plants (reviews in Bell 1982; Bierzychudek 1987). Of particular interest is self-fertilization, which is a uniparental sexual process. The question is: why mix it up? And what is the optimal mixture of uniparental and biparental offspring? In what follows, we present models of selection on mating systems when there are parasites and pathogens to contend with. The results suggest that mixed reproduction can be evolutionarily stable over a wide range of conditions, depending on how the risk of parasitism is associated with increasing levels of selfing in the population.

(a) A Strategy Model

Consider a simultaneous hermaphrodite in a large, randomly mating population. Assuming that reproduction through female function is resource limited, the expected fitness of this individual \(W_i\) can be approximated by summing up the fitness gains through male function \(W_m\), plus female function through outcrossing \(W_f\), plus female function through uniparental (parthenogenetic or self-fertilized) ova \(W_p\). Thus

\[ W_i = W_m + W_f + W_p, \]  

where:
\[ W_m = a_i r_m V, \quad (2a) \]
\[ W_f = (1 - a_i)(1 - p_i) r_f, \quad (2b) \]
\[ W_p = (1 - a_i) p_i y. \quad (2c) \]

In this formulation, \( a_i \) is the individual's allocation to male function, and \( a \) is the population mean; \( p_i \) is the individual's allocation to uniparental ova, and \( p \) is the population mean; \( y \) is the fitness of uniparental ova, relative to fully outcrossed ova; \( r_f \) is the percentage of the genome passed onto amphimictic zygotes through female function, and \( r_m \) is the percentage of the genome passed onto amphimictic zygotes through male function \((r_f + r_m = 1)\). Finally, the reproductive value of male function, \( V \), is equal to \((1 - a)(1 - p)/a\). This formulation for \( W_i \) assumes that the use of male gametes for the purpose of self-fertilization does not affect the total number of outcrossed progeny gained through male function (i.e. no "pollen discounting" in the sense of Holsinger et al. 1984). It also assumes that all ova are fertilized, and that uniparental ova are not sequestered in cleistogamous flowers (see Lively and Lloyd 1990).

Consider the situation where the fitness of uniparental progeny, \( y \), depends on three variables, (1) inbreeding depression \((d)\), or, equivalently, the effects of developmental defects in parthenogenetic progeny, (2) the effects of parasites \((E)\), and (3) the rate of parasite contact or transmission \((T)\), as follows:

\[ y = (1 - d)(1 - ET). \quad (3) \]

Here, we let the transmission rate, \( T \), be a function of the average allocation to uniparental ova within the population \((p)\). Specifically, we set the contact or transmission rate as

\[ T = \left[ \frac{(N - 1)p + p_i}{N} \right]^z. \quad (4) \]
where \( N \) is the number of individuals in the population. In effect, we assume that the probability of contact with parasites approaches unity as \( p \) and \( p_i \) approach one. The rationale for this assumption is that, as selfing or parthenogenesis increases in the population, genetic diversity decreases, thereby facilitating the spread of pathogens. The exponent \( (z) \) controls the shape of the transmission function, and is expected to depend on the type of uniparental progeny, the aggregation of siblings, the genetic basis for disease resistance, and the type of parasite or pathogen. The case \( z > 1 \) means an initially low but accumulating effect of increasing \( p \) on the probability of contact with parasites, while \( z < 1 \) means an initially high but decelerating effect (cf. "peaky" and "pitty" fitness profiles in Hamilton, 1993).

The effect of small changes in allocation to uniparental ova on individual fitness can be calculated by taking the first partial derivative of \( W_i \) with respect to \( p_i \). At the evolutionarily stable (Maynard Smith 1982) or unbeatable strategy (Hamilton 1967), the population mean \( p \) is equal to the optimal allocation to uniparental progeny for the individual \( p_i \). Hence the derivative can be solved for \( p_i = p \). Moreover, at the ESS, the derivative is equal to zero. Setting the derivative equal to zero and solving for the equilibrium value of \( p \) \( (p^*) \) gives:

\[
p^* = \left[ \frac{1 - d - r_f}{E(1 - d)(1 + \gamma)} \right]^{\frac{1}{z}}.
\]  

(5)

Assuming that the number of individuals in the population \( N \) is large, and substituting one half for the relatedness to offspring through female function, \( r_f \), into eq. (5) gives:

\[
p^* = \left[ \frac{\sqrt{2} - d}{E(1 - d)} \right]^{\frac{1}{z}}.
\]  

(6)

The ESS is locally stable (i.e. the second partial derivative is less than one) when \( 2p(N + 1)/p_i(x + 1) \) is greater than one, which is whenever \( N \) is large.
(b) Graphical Results of the Model

A feel for the ESS given in equation (6) can be gained for the special case of selfing by examination of Fig. 5, where the equilibrium selfing rate ($p^*$) is plotted as a function of inbreeding depression ($d$) and the effects that parasites have on host fitness ($E$) for various exponents ($z$). For small exponents (e.g. $z = 0.1$), in which the transfer of infection increases very rapidly in mostly outcrossing population, there seems to be two alternative stable states, complete selfing and complete outcrossing, with a very sharp zone of transition between them (Fig. 5). Complete selfing is favored when inbreeding depression ($d$) and parasites effects ($E$) are both low ($< 0.40$). Complete outcrossing is favoured when the inbreeding depression is high ($> 0.45$) and when there are moderate to severe effects of parasites. Small exponents giving rise to rapid increases in the infection of selfed progeny in mostly outcrossed populations might be expected when there are aggregated sibships, especially when there are few loci involved in disease resistance. Aggregates sibships would allow for the direct transfer of disease among closely related individuals as suggested by Rice (1983), Augspurger (1983), Shykoff and Schmid-Hempel (1991), and Herre (1993). Larger exponents ($z = 0.5$ to 2.0), however, can easily give rise to mixed (selfed and outcrossed) mating systems for a large fraction of the parameter space. For the present model, mixed reproduction is an unbeatable strategy for moderate to severe effects of parasites, provided inbreeding depression is less than 0.5. For moderate inbreeding depression ($d = 0.4 - 0.5$), mixed mating is stable even when there are small ($e = 0.1 - 0.3$) effects of parasites (Figs. 5B-D).

Thus the results of this simple model of allocation-dependent fitnesses suggest that mixtures of selfed and outcrossed progeny can be evolutionarily stable over a wide range of conditions, and may be a partial explanation for mixed mating in natural populations. While the general result may hold, it is nonetheless clear that detailed genetic models will be helpful to determine (1) the effects of different modes of inbreeding depression (see Jarne and Charlesworth 1993 for a thorough review), and (2) the effect of repeated selfing on inbreeding
depression (see Campbell 1986; Lande and Schemske 1985; Charlesworth et al., 1990). Such models would also aid in evaluating the oversimplification presented here that cross-fertilized plants are relatively uninfected, which depending on the level of selfing and the genetics of infection may not be met. This is especially true if the advantages to outcrossing decline with increases in the population-wide selfing rate. A glimpse of this effect, however, can be seen by letting the fitness gains through outcrossed progeny \( (W_m \text{ and } W_f) \) vary with the mean selfing rate \( (p) \). This is easily accomplished by multiplying eqs. 2a and 2b by \( (1 - Ehp^2) \), where \( h \) is the frequency of outcrossed progeny that are infected relative to uniparental progeny. Under these conditions, the equilibrium selfing rate in a large population becomes:

\[
p^* = \left[ \frac{1 - 2d}{E(2 - 2d - h)} \right]^{1/2}.
\]

The results are shown graphically in Fig. 6 for \( h \) equal to one half (i.e., outcrossed progeny are half as infected as uniparental progeny). As expected, the region of parameter space increases for which complete selfing is evolutionarily stable, but mixed reproduction is nonetheless similarly stable over a significant portion of the same parameter space.

4. DISCUSSION

Parasites represent a potentially powerful source of selection on host reproductive strategies, especially if they can evolve rapidly enough to track the spread of common host genotypes. However, the parasite (or Red Queen) hypothesis for the maintenance of sex suffers from two general criticisms. One is that, in order to overcome the two-fold advantage that clones may enjoy, the effects of parasites would seem to need to be severe in order to keep the clone from fixing (May and Anderson 1983). One possible solution to this problem has been suggested by Hamilton et al. (1990). They argue convincingly that the effects of parasites can be exacerbated by intraspecific competition for resources. For example, infected plants in a
greenhouse may show marginal reductions in fitness-related traits when compared to control plants. However, when these same plants are grown under intense competition with uninfected plants, the effect of infection may be greatly increased due to a synergism between competition and infection. In fact it seems reasonable to suspect that disease could be indirectly responsible for the failure of the most infected plants to reproduce under high levels of competition. Hamilton et al. (1990) show that this kind of synergism between competition and infection dampens the host/parasite gene-frequency oscillations, and gives sex an advantage over parthenogenesis. They refer to the synergism as rank-order truncation selection (see also the "MIS" model in Hamilton, 1993).

As an alternative, the accumulation of mutations through Muller’s ratchet can also greatly reduce the severity of parasites needed to prevent the fixation of clones (Howard and Lively 1994). The basic idea rests on the assumption that clones start as rare mutants in large sexual populations; and, as such, they are initially very sensitive to mutation accumulation via Muller’s ratchet. For mutation rates of one-per-genome-per-generation or greater, it is unlikely that a newly formed clone will survive for more than a few generations before its mutational load is significantly increased. The combination of mutation accumulation and moderate effects of debilitating parasites could prevent the fixation of clonal mutants in the short term. Oscillations in clonal frequency driven by parasites then lead to the further accumulation of mutations in clonal lineages and their eventual elimination.

It is worth noting that, under this kind of reasoning, any force that drives populations through periodic bottlenecks would have the effect. Periodic epidemics for example, could favour sex, even if the disease does not have the specific genetic basis required by frequency-dependent selection. In fact population cycles driven by any source (e.g., physical disturbance, predation, or resource competition) would also favour cross fertilization by driving clonal populations through ratchet cranking bottlenecks. A major advantage (in our view) of parasite-mediated frequency-dependent selection is that it should predictably drive cycling and thereby reliably favour sex over parthenogenesis. This is true even if there is
some refugia from infection, provided such refuges contain a sufficiently small fraction of the population so that the ratchet will work.

A second weakness of the parasite theory for sex is that parasites do not select for sex per se, but for rarity, however generated (Fig. 1). Hence, any theory of sex must be able to cope with the possibility of repeated mutations to parthenogenetic reproduction. We have found that the interaction between parasites and mutation accumulation can operate to render sex stable to invasion by multiple, independently derived clones (Figs. 2 & 3). The idea here is, if the clone fails to fix, it begins to oscillate with the sexual population. However, during these oscillations, each time the clone is depressed to a low frequency by the parasite, it is subjected to the accumulation of further mutations through the action of the ratchet. This leads to the rapid extinction of clones (Howard and Lively 1994) and the stability of sexual reproduction when there is repeated mutation to parthenogenesis (Figs. 2 & 3).

As an aside, it is worth pointing out that rank-order truncation selection and parasite-aided mutation accumulation are not mutually exclusive alternatives. In fact, it would seem that both should operate in the wild. Moreover, it seems reasonable to think that they would interact in a synergistic way if competition for resources exacerbates the effects of infection, thereby slowing the growth rate of any clonal mutant and thus allowing for a greater rate of mutation accumulation. Another kind of synergism that merits future consideration is that between parasite load and mutational load. If, for example, individuals with high mutational loads were sicker when infected, then mutational load and parasites would have a synergistic, negative effect on host fitness.

It now seems that parasites should be at least part of the reason for why dioecious outcrossing populations are stable to invasion by obligately parthenogenetic mutants. But what about hermaphroditic populations: can parasites prevent the fixation of alleles for self fertilization? This is an important question for at least two reasons. One is that the "cost of sex" is different. In a competition between obligately parthenogenetic and obligately sexual females, the cost of sex is proportional to the investment that sexual females put into sons
(Maynard Smith 1971, 1978); relatedness is not relevant, except in how it might affect son production. However, for the self fertilization of potentially outcrossing (noncleistogamous) eggs, the cost of sex is due to the reduction in relatedness between the parent and its outcrossed offspring (Williams 1975; Charlesworth 1980; Lloyd 1980; Lively and Lloyd 1990). The second, and more important, reason is that the evolution of self fertilization in cosexual populations represents the indisputable evolution of uniparental reproduction at the individual level. Whereas obligate parthenogens and their sexual ancestors are reproductively isolated groups (Williams 1991), partially selfing individuals are not reproductively isolated from other partially selfing individuals. Any general theory of sex should be able to explain not only why sexual females are not replaced by obligately parthenogenetic females, but why cross fertilization is not replaced by self fertilization. In fact, the latter explanation would seem to be a more difficult challenge for the parasite theory of sex, because there is no single clone for the parasites to track in evolutionary time.

It may, of course, be that parasites are not needed (unless they are responsible for genetic load). Inbreeding depression seems to be universally associated with self fertilization of individuals from outcrossing populations; and if inbreeding depression reduces the fitness of selfed progeny more than 50%, then inbreeding depression alone is sufficient for the maintenance of sex (Lloyd 1979). If, however, inbreeding depression reduces the fitness of selfed offspring by less than 50%, then selfing should have an advantage (Fisher 1941; Lloyd 1979). Hence, in the absence of additional factors, there are two alternative stable states that depend on inbreeding depression: complete selfing is stable if inbreeding depression is low, and complete outcrossing is stable if inbreeding depression is high. However, because mutation loads and inbreeding depression vary among individuals, complete selfing may be a stronger attractor (Lande and Schemske 1985).

The models presented here add parasites to inbreeding depression as a factor contributing to the "cost" of selfing. They make the simplest possible assumption that the spread of parasites is related to the degree of self fertilization in a population, which could
result from either the reduction in heterozygosity or genetic diversity (or both) caused by selfing. The results depend on how the selfing rate maps onto the transfer of parasites. If small increases in selfing from an outcrossing ancestral state lead to dramatic increases in the transfer of disease (small values of $z$ in eq. (6)), then selfing and crossing seem to be alternative stable states as suggested by Lloyd (1979) and Lande and Schemske (1985). The main difference is that, with the addition of parasites, crossing is stable for values of inbreeding depression less than 50% (Fig. 5A). If, however, small increases in selfing lead to significant, but less dramatic, increases in the likelihood of infection, then mixtures of selfing and outcrossing are expected at the ESS for a large range of parameter values (Fig. 5B-D). This explanation is fundamentally different from the argument for time-lagged selection against common clones discussed above. But parasites might nonetheless explain mixed-mating systems in plants and animals where inbreeding depression is less than 50%.

Finally, it seems reasonable to suggest that parasites could select for temporal mixtures of uniparental and biparental offspring, as observed in many facultatively parthenogenetic and selfing animals. Such selection would require that there are reliable cues indicative of the risk of parasite attack, such as high population density. In cyclically parthenogenetic zooplankton, for example, high population density is known to induce the production of males and sexual females (review in Bell 1982). Prior to such induction, genetic diversity in the population could be eroded by interclonal competition at the same time that population size is increasing. This is precisely the kind of situation that should favor the spread of disease and a facultative switch to cross fertilization. Similarly, warmer water temperatures have been shown to induce a cross-fertilizing morph of an otherwise selfing hermaphroditic snail (Schrag and Read 1992; Schrag et al. 1994b). Such temperatures are indicative in some populations of an enhanced risk of attack by digenetic trematodes that castrate infected individuals (Schrag et al. 1994a).
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Figure Headings

Figure 1. Selection for clonal diversity and the elimination of sex under parasitism in the absence of mutation accumulation. The heavy line represents the population trajectory of a freely recombining sexual population in competition with one, and then two, asexual genotypes. In (A), the two-locus model, each asexual lineage was initialized with one of the four possible parasite-compatibility genotypes extant in the sexual population (labeled c11, c12, c21, c22); whereas in (B), the three-locus model, each asexual lineage was initialized with one of eight possible parasite-compatibility genotypes extant in the sexual population (labeled c111, c112 ... c222). Parameters for these runs of the simulation included a probability of parasite transmission \((T) = 0.9\) and an effect of parasites on host fitness \((E) = 0.7\) (i.e. an average of 70% reduction in host fecundity as a result of parasitism).

Figure 2. Elimination of asexual genotypes by the combined effects of parasitism and mutation accumulation. The heavy line labeled "sex" represents the population trajectory of a freely recombining sexual population undergoing repeated mutation to parthenogenesis; asexuals were derived from the sexual population at an average rate of once every 60 generations. In (A), the two-locus model, the asexual lineages represented random samples of genetic variation in the sexual population with respect to both numbers of deleterious mutations and parasite compatibility genotypes (c11, c12, c21, c22). In (B), the three-locus model, the conditions were the same except that there were eight possible parasite compatibility genotypes (c111, c112 ... c222) that caused infection when they matched a similar eight possible host genotypes. Parameters for this run of the simulation included a per genome mutation rate \((U)\) of 1.0, an effect of mutation \((s) = 0.025\), a probability of parasite transmission \((T) = 0.9\), and an effect of parasites on host fitness \((E) = 0.7\) (i.e. an average of 50% reduction in host fecundity as a result of parasitism).

Figure 3. Competition between sexual and clonal populations facing the combined effects of parasitism and mutation accumulation. The parameter values are the same as in Fig. 2, except that the effects of parasites \((E) = 0.5\). (A) two-locus model; and (B) three-locus model as described in Figure 2. Note that the sexual population is driven extinct in the three locus model.

Figure 4. Selection for clonal diversity and the elimination of sex under parasitism in the presence of mutation accumulation. The parameter values are the same as in Fig. 2 & 3, except that the effects of parasites \((E) = 0.4\). (A) two-locus model; and (B) three-locus model.
model as described in Figure 2. Note that the sexual population is driven in both models. Compare to Fig. 1.

Figure 5. Graphical results for the evolutionarily stable percent of selfing ($p^*$) as a function of inbreeding depression ($d$) and the effects of parasites ($E$), as given in equation (6). 
(A) $z = 0.1$; (B) $z = 0.5$; (C) $z = 1.0$; (D) $z = 2.0$. Note that mixtures of selfed and outcrossed offspring are evolutionarily stable for large regions of the parameter space in B-D.

Figure 6. Graphical results for the evolutionarily stable percent of selfing ($p^*$) as a function of inbreeding depression ($d$) and the effects of parasites ($E$), as given in equation (7) for the relative frequency of infected sexual individuals ($h$) = 0.5. (A) $z = 0.1$; (B) $z = 0.5$; (C) $z = 1.0$; (D) $z = 2.0$. Compare to Fig. 5.
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