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What Differentiated Instruction (DI) is NOT

- Individualized instruction only
- Reactive
- Giving a "normal" assignment to most students and a "different" assignment to struggling or advanced students
- Tracking: Another way to provide homogenous groupings (within a diverse class)
What DI is:

- Proactively tailoring instruction to students’ different learning needs, such as students’ readiness and cognitive abilities, interests, and learning profiles and backgrounds (Tomlinson, 2005)
- Responsive and adaptive
- Rooted in (formative) assessment
- Student-centered
- A blend of whole-class, small group, and individual instruction
Why Differentiate?

Professional observations:
- My students in the same class are in many different places in their understanding.
- What I do in class works differently with different students; my instruction is not “the same” instruction for all students in the same class.
- Students benefit from interacting with many different thinkers, which they don’t get to do in tracked classes.

Professional choices:
- Want to communicate mathematically with more students
- Need to help more students be successful
- Want alternatives to tracking
Why Differentiate?

- U.S. classrooms are increasingly diverse in many ways: Culturally, ethnically, linguistically, and cognitively.
- Tracking, a widespread response to diversity, is often accompanied by many inequities.
- Classrooms without differentiated instruction are often not serving students well… even advanced students.
- To promote equitable outcomes (a fundamental principle of mathematics education from NCTM) requires differentiating instruction!
What if you Differentiate?

- You open up more problems in your teaching practice!
- But they are good problems to have.
- Such as:
  - **Tailoring instruction:** How do you change problems and activities to fit with different learners’ ways of thinking?
  - **Developing classroom community:** How do you have classroom discussion when students have worked on different problems?
  - **Managing different ways of thinking:** What can/should students take away from the different ways of thinking of their peers?
Our IDR²eAM Project

- Investigating **Differentiated Instruction** and **Relationships** between **Rational Number Knowledge** and **Algebraic Reasoning** in **Middle School**

- **Years 1-2**: Teach after school math classes (design experiments) for groups of nine 7th and 8th grade students with diverse cognitive characteristics.
  - So, in the project we are focused on differentiating instruction for cognitive diversity.
Our IDR²eAM Project

- **Year 3:** Form a study group with about 15 middle school classroom teachers in Indiana to explore how to differentiate math instruction in whole classrooms.

- **Years 4-5:** Co-teach with classroom teachers in classroom experiments to explore differentiated instruction in topics related to rational numbers and algebraic reasoning.
Features of DI in Years 1-2

1. Formative assessment
2. Mathematics problems with choices: e.g., Parallel Tasks
3. Flexible and intentional small groups
4. Student work in small groups
5. Whole classroom discussion about a topic, across different problems

Important Note: #1-2 above esp. require developing clarity about Big Mathematical Ideas and Goals.
Classroom Set-Up
# Overview of Fall 2013 Class

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Episodes</th>
<th>2-4</th>
<th>5-9</th>
<th>9-12</th>
<th>13-18</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Topic</td>
<td>Nature of quantitative unknowns</td>
<td>Equal sharing problems</td>
<td>Representing multiplicative relationships between unknowns</td>
<td>Reasoning with ratios</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Overview of Episode Structure

Choice of Tasks

Small Group Work

Whole Classroom Discussion
Episode 11

- Students had worked on the Corn Stalk Tomato Plant Height Problem at the end of Episode 9, finishing in Episode 10.

- We were poised to discuss the problem at the start of Episode 11.

- Goals of whole classroom discussion (initially):
  - Share/broadcast student ideas
  - Recognize similarities in thinking, pictures, and equations
  - Identify differences in thinking, pictures, and equations
Episodes 9-11

Episode 9 → Oct. 8, 2013
Choice of Tasks

Episode 10 → Oct. 10, 2013
Small Group Work

Whole Classroom Discussion

Episode 11 ← Oct. 15, 2014
Goals in showing Episode 11

- Demonstrates ways of thinking that we needed to tailor instruction to (some of this was anticipated, some not!).

- Gives a flavor of whole classroom discussion across students with different ways of thinking.

- Gives a sense of benefits and challenges of differentiated instruction.
## Students in Episode 11

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Course</th>
<th>Number of students</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Regular 7th grade math class</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Advanced 7th grade math</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8th grade prealgebra</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Algebra (7th &amp; 8th)</td>
<td>1 (8th)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Tim’s equations: \( \frac{m}{5} = 1q \)
\( q \times 5 = m \)
*Let \( h \) be the height of the stalk
*Let \( x \) be the height of the tomato
Tim’s idea of “approximate”

- Surprised us!

- When the heights are unknown, then everything is unknown/uncertain, including the relationship.

- When the heights become known, then the relationship can become known too.
Who benefits and how?

- Tim: Got to air, examine, and defend, an idea that might stay hidden in non-differentiated classrooms.
  - True for others who are thinking similarly to Tim.

- Gabriel: Had to make his ideas very explicit in discussing them with Tim.
  - True for others who are thinking similarly to Gabriel.
1) A fern and sunflower are growing in the garden, each of unknown height. The height of the sunflower is $3/5$ the height of the fern.
   a. Draw a picture of this situation and describe what your picture represents.
Reflections on the Whole Classroom Discussion

- Not expected!
- Several students other than Tim and Gabriel weighed in and seemed interested in the issue. (Stephanie, Connor, Lucy, Paige…)
- We have discussed benefits for the students; what learning went on for us?
Implications for Instruction

1. We revised our approach to working with multiplicative relationships between quantitative unknowns.

2. We focused attention on helping small group and large group discussion function effectively.

3. We started to attend to how to structure students’ exposure to different ways of thinking.
Discussion Questions

1. What prompts you to want to differentiate instruction?

2. What experiences have you had with differentiating instruction?

3. “I would differentiate instruction if ________________...”
If you are interested in exploring more with us, join the IDR^2eAM study group for classroom teachers. **Structure of study group:**

1. A professional development workshop in summer 2015.
2. Monthly meetings during the 2015-2016 academic year.
3. Preparation for monthly meetings, which include readings, examining student work and bringing it to the meeting, etc.
4. A commitment to try out some aspect of differentiating instruction in your classroom during the 2015-2016 school year, and to write about your exploration.

Course credit or stipends will be provided. If you are interested in participating, please email Amy Hackenberg at ahackenb@indiana.edu.
THANK YOU!

- IDR²eAM project website: [http://www.indiana.edu/~idream/](http://www.indiana.edu/~idream/)
- Amy: [ahackenb@indiana.edu](mailto:ahackenb@indiana.edu)
- Fetiye: [faydeniz@indiana.edu](mailto:faydeniz@indiana.edu)
- Ayfer: [ayeker@indiana.edu](mailto:ayeker@indiana.edu)
- Robin: [robijone@indiana.edu](mailto:robijone@indiana.edu)