Interdepartmental Biochemistry Program
Preliminary Examinations Evaluation

Part I. Evaluation of examination components

Please evaluate the student on a scale of 0-6, as described below.

6 = Truly Outstanding. Best work the committee has seen in several years.
5 = Excellent. Top 10% among students you have seen; few if any weaknesses.
4 = Very Good
3 = Good
2 = Marginal
1 = Poor
0 = Very poor

A) Written proposal. Score: __________
How well is the proposal written?

Comments:

B) Written exam. Score: __________

Comments:

C) Seminar Score: __________

Comments:
Part II. Evaluation of overall student progress. Students must pass each of these components to be admitted to Candidacy for the Ph.D. degree. A student may make terrific progress in some areas, with glaring weaknesses in others.

Please evaluate the student on a scale of 0-6, as described below. For any areas with a score < 3, please suggest steps student needs to take to overcome this deficiency.

6 = Truly Outstanding. Best work the committee has seen in several years.
5 = Excellent. Top 10% among students you have seen; few if any weaknesses.
4 = Very Good. Clearly at the desired level for a 3rd year student.
3 = Good. Meets the desired standards, but has weaknesses to address.
2 = Marginal. Some strengths, but major weaknesses.
1 = Poor. Clearly not at level of Ph.D. Candidate.
0 = Very poor. Little to no progress in this area.

A) Research Progress. Has the student been sufficiently productive in the lab that it is likely s/he will earn a Ph.D. in the next 30-36 months? Is the quality of the work sufficient for publication?

Score: __________

Comments:
B) Understanding of Research Project.
- Has the student taken intellectual ownership of his/her research project?
- Does the student understand project goals in detail?
- Does s/he understand the background literature in the field?
- Does s/he understand not just the conclusions that other researchers have drawn, but also the experiments leading to those conclusions?
- Can the student plan and execute experiments independently?
- Are the breadth and depth of the student’s understanding adequate?

Score: __________

Comments:

C) Plan for Completion of the Ph.D. degree.
- Is the proposed work significant?
- Has feasibility been demonstrated? If not, in what time frame do you expect key preliminary experiments to be completed?
- Is the proposed work appropriately focused? If not, which directions are most important to pursue?
- Is the proposed work likely to result in a high-quality Ph.D. thesis in 30-36 months?

Score: __________
**Comments:**

**D) Biochemical Literacy.**
- Does the student have a sufficiently deep understanding of basic biochemistry and related fields?
- Does the student read broadly in high-impact journals?
- Does the student attend seminars and think about the ideas and results presented?

**Score:** ___________

**Comments:**

---

**Signed (Electronic signature acceptable):**
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**Committee Member**  
**Committee Member**