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This paper addresses the representation of variable phenomena in the linguistic systems of individual speakers. We investigate two factors that condition variable phenomena: heaviness [1] and persistence [2, 3, 4, among others]. We argue that these effects, unlike phonological and morphological factors, are best interpreted as extra-grammatical. The implication is that variable phenomena must be the purview of at least two systems: the generative grammar, and a system for language use.

Variable phenomena are often conditioned by factors that also condition categorical phenomena [5, 6, 7]. For example, Guy and Boberg argue that the Obligatory Contour Principle, which triggers invariant phonological processes, also conditions variable t/d-deletion. They suggest that this supports inherent variability [8], motivating a unified treatment of variable and categorical phenomena within the grammar. Accordingly, both sociolinguists and generative phonologists have moved to incorporate linguistic variability into grammatical models.

We observe that variable processes may also be conditioned by factors that do not condition categorical phenomena (see also [9]). These factors do not lend themselves readily to a grammatical treatment, forcing us to recognize the possibility of additional loci of variation. In this talk we present two case studies that show both grammatical and extra-grammatical conditioning.

The first case study examines contraction of the auxiliaries has, is, and will after non-pronoun subjects in three corpora: Switchboard [10], Fisher [11], and the Philadelphia Neighborhood Corpus [12]. Each auxiliary’s subject was coded for heaviness as measured by length in words, as well as for other internal factors that have been found to condition this variation (e.g. [13]). The data reveal an effect of heaviness on contraction: the longer the subject, the less likely contraction is to occur (Figure 1). This sensitivity to word count is not seen in categorical alternations, which are local in nature [14].

The second case study is the effect of persistence on two morphophonological variables, TD and ING, in the Buckeye Corpus [15]. Persistence is the effect where a recently-used variant is more likely to be used again. In addition to the phonological and morphological factors known to condition these variables (e.g. [16] on TD, [17] on ING), both TD and ING show persistence effects that decay gradually over about a minute (Figure 2). Again, this effect operates outside of a grammatically local domain.

The examples in our case studies are not. We propose that the non-locality of these effects is inconsistent with an analysis where all variation originates grammar-internally, and attribute them instead to language use. We sketch a model of language that separates derivation and use, allowing both to be probabilistic. An implication for variationist research is that surface probabilities may reflect combinations of multiple underlying probabilities and should be modeled accordingly.

Figure 1. Effect of subject heaviness on contraction of three auxiliaries after non-pronoun subjects. Each point represents one token, coded as contracted or uncontracted. Smoothing line fit via GLM.